

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

cul.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,825	01/18/2002	Leslie Jones	39872-177641	6945
7:	590 08/14/2003			
Andrew C. Aitken			EXAMINER	
VENABLE Post Office Box			BISHOP, STEVEN C	
Washington, DC 20043-9998			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3722	
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/050,825	JONES ET AL.				
omce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and	Steven C. Bishop	3722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
, <u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	in nom consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
Application Papers	olosion requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2002 is/are: a	)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ⊠ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>	• •					
Attachment(s)	. ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office		<del></del>				

Application/Control Number: 10/050,825

Art Unit: 3722

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2-4, 8, 9, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, line 4, there appear to be some words missing, as that line is not clear. In claim 5, line 2, it appears that "positioned" should be -- positions --. Claim 8 appears to be incomplete. Claim 9 is in dependent form, but the claim number from which it depends is missing.. In claim 13, line 1, there is no antecedent basis for "said pilot drill bit. It appears that claim 13 should depend from claim 6, and in the art rejections below, this has been assumed.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the European reference (Haussmann et al.).
- 5. Claims 1-8 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by the Japanese reference (Masabumi).

Application/Control Number: 10/050,825

Art Unit: 3722

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Haussmann et al. or Masabumi.

Neither Haussmann et al. nor Masabumi disclose providing patches for the hole drilled. The Examiner, however, takes official notice that patching drywall by providing a patch to fit a hole is a well known method, and it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide circular patches to patch the holes drilled by the hole saw of either Haussmann et al. or Masabumi.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/050,825

Art Unit: 3722

#### 9. Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

10. Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information. M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven C. Bishop whose telephone number is (703) 308-1731. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and alternate Fridays from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit.

Allowed Files & Publication

Drawing Corrections/Draftsman

(703) 308-6789 or (888) 786-0101

**Assignment Branch** 

(703) 308-9723

Certificates of Correction

(703) 305-8309

(703) 305-8404/8335

Petitions/Special Programs

(703) 305-9285

Terminal Disclaimers

(703) 305-8408

PCT Help Desk

(703) 305-3257

If the information desired is not provided above, or a number has been changed, please call the general information help line below.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,825

Art Unit: 3722

Information Help line Internet PTO-Home Page

1-800-786-9199 http: <u>www.uspto.gov</u>

> Steven C. Bishop Primary Examiner Art Unit 3722

scb August 8, 2003